

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lisa Saunders, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing "JOINT REPLY COMMENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO AND SANGRE DE CRISTO COMMUNICATIONS, INC." was sent on this 27th day of September, 1993, via United States mail, postage prepaid, unless otherwise indicated, to the following:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>* Michael C. Ruger
Chief, Allocations Branch
Policy and Rules Division
Mass Media Branch
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 318
Washington, DC 20554</p> <p>* Roy J. Stewart
Chief, Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 314
Washington, DC 20554</p> <p>* Barbara Kreisman
Chief, Video Services Division
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 702
Washington, DC 20554</p> | <p>* Clay Pendarvis
Chief, Television Branch
Federal Communications
Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 700
Washington, DC 20554</p> <p>Richard Hildreth, Esq.
Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth
1300 North 17th Street
11th Floor
Rosslyn, Virginia 22209</p> <p>James L. Winston, Esq.
Rubin, Winston, Diercks,
Harris & Cooke
1739 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036</p> |
|--|---|


Lisa Saunders

- * Denotes Hand Delivery

EXHIBIT F

FOC MAIL SECTION FOC MAIL SECTION
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

MAR 12 12 11 PM '91

FEB 28 1991

DISPATCHED BY

IN REPLY REFER TO

8940-WLB

Thomas Aube
University of Southern Colorado
2200 Bonforte Blvd.
Pueblo, CO 81001

Re: Station KTSC (TV)
Pueblo, CO
BPET-900122KE

Dear Mr. Aube:

This is with respect to the above-captioned application of the University of Southern Colorado (University) for a modification of licensed facilities for noncommercial educational Station KTSC (TV), Channel 8, Pueblo, Colorado. Your application is opposed by the Association for Maximum Service Telecasters, Inc. (AMST), which filed an informal objection on February 26, 1990.

Your present transmitter site is located on Baculite Mesa, approximately eight miles north of Pueblo. Although Colorado Springs lies partly within the station's predicted principal community contour, intervening terrain prevents an adequate signal from reaching that community. Coverage of that community is important, you state, because of the various educational and outreach services the University offers there. Thus, until August 1990 you had utilized a television translator on Channel 53 to provide service to Colorado Springs, until forced off the air by a new full-power station on that channel. You state that you have been unable to find a new channel on which your translator could operate and that your modification application is an effort to find a site which could serve both Pueblo and Colorado Springs. You now propose to construct a tower on Cheyenne Mountain in an antenna farm southwest of Colorado Springs. That site is 296.1 kilometers (184.0 miles) from co-channel Station KJCT (TV), Grand Junction, Colorado, and 291.9 kilometers (181.4 miles) from the reference point for a co-channel allocation in Laramie, Wyoming. Section 73.610(b) requires a minimum separation of 304.9 kilometers (189.5 miles) in this part of the country. Accordingly, your proposed site is 8.8 kilometers (5.5 miles) short-spaced to Station KJCT (TV) and 13.0 kilometers (8.1 miles) short-spaced to the Laramie allocation. Accordingly you request waiver of the Rule. You also seek waiver of Section 73.685(e) of the Rules because the ratio of the maximum-to-minimum radiation of your proposed directional antenna would exceed 10 dB.

In support of your waiver request of Section 73.610, you argue that there are only three sites at which you could locate and provide a predicted signal to both Pueblo and Colorado Springs without running afoul of local zoning restrictions. The first is your present site, but you argue that intervening terrain prevents a viewable signal from reaching Colorado Springs. The second potential site is approximately eight miles north of your current site;

north and west of the proposed site is mountainous towards both Grand Junction and Laramie and that no objectionable interference would result. Additionally, you contend that you will afford equivalent protection to the Grand Junction station and a future co-channel station in Laramie.

In opposition, AMST argues that you have not made the threshold showing that no fully spaced sites, including its present site, are available. It further asserts that you have not made a compelling public interest justification necessary for waiver of the Rules.

After careful review of your application, we are persuaded that grant of your waiver requests would serve the public interest. The Commission is mindful of the unique role played by many noncommercial television stations in providing public television service to wide areas. You have established that the University serves both the Pueblo and Colorado Springs areas and that it is therefore important that your television station do so as well. You have unsuccessfully attempted to find another translator to serve Colorado Springs, and it would not be possible at this time to seek a new television channel, since there is currently a freeze on the filing of new applications in that part of the country. Further, it does not appear that you could modify the facilities of your current site sufficiently to provide a viewable signal in Colorado Springs. Consequently, your only alternative is to seek a new site, and we believe that you have demonstrated the unsuitability of any other sites from which you could serve both communities. We further note that, while there would be some loss areas to the south and east of Pueblo, these areas are largely unpopulated. Additionally, we agree that the mountainous terrain and your offer to reduce effective radiated power to the north and west would greatly reduce the possibility that objectionable interference to the Grand Junction station or to a future station in Laramie would occur. Finally, we note that Station KJCT(TV) in Grand Junction has not opposed your proposal. Therefore, we believe that waiver of Section 73.610 is warranted. We will also grant your request for waiver of Section 73.685, because the directional antenna pattern you propose would minimize the potential for ghosting. Additionally, that antenna pattern will enable you to provide the equivalent protection mentioned above.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, the informal objection filed by AMST IS DENIED, your requests for waiver of Sections 73.610 and 73.685 ARE GRANTED, and your application to modify the station's facilities IS GRANTED subject to the following conditions:

The maximum visual effective radiated power at azimuth 348 degrees True toward the Channel 8 allocation for Laramie, Wyoming, shall not exceed 21.3 dBk (135 kW).

The maximum visual effective radiated power at azimuth 278 degrees True toward Station KJCT(TV), Grand Junction, Colorado, shall not exceed 22.0 dBk (158 kW).

The application for license shall include:

- a. Horizontal plane radiation pattern obtained from measurements performed by the manufacturer for the transmitting antenna prior to its installation.
- b. Vertical radiation patterns obtained from measurements by the manufacturer for the transmitting antenna prior to its installation for at least the azimuth toward the Channel 8 allocation in Laramie and toward Station KJCT(TV).
- c. An affidavit by a qualified and licensed surveyor that the proper azimuthal orientation of the transmitting antenna achieves radiation limitations prescribed above for the Channel 8 allocation in Laramie and Station KJCT(TV).

Sincerely,

Barbara A. Kreisman
Chief, Video Services Division
Mass Media Bureau

cc: Wayne Coy, Jr., Esq.
William H. Fitz, Esq.

EXHIBIT G

WFLA	93071	86-41	CHIPPewa FALLS	MI -CHAN-48	*TYPE CT	*STAT 15	*EXP	93121
93071	86-41	CHIPPewa FALLS	93121	AMENDED 12/19/94, TO INCLUDE S				
MINOR AMENDMENT			941219					
931012KF	900703KF	AP	931012 931012 931021		931026	60		00 940426
931012KF		AP	920504 920504 920729		920729	60		00 930730
931012KF		AP	910217 910217 910805		920228	60		00 930305
931012KF		P	900703 900703 900712		911024	60		00 920424
881219KF	860820KI	AP	881219 910227 910307		910618	60		00 911218
931121KI		AP	901120 901120 901120		910409	60		00
MINOR AMENDMENT			910402	*AMENDED 04-02-91: AN ITEMIZAT				
MINOR AMENDMENT			910108	*AMENDED 01-08-91: FACSIMILE				
MINOR AMENDMENT			901217	AMENDED 12-17-90 TO THE ASSIGN				
MINOR AMENDMENT			901217	AMENDED 12-17-90 TO THE ASSIGN				
MINOR AMENDMENT			901212	AMENDED 12-12-90 TO THE ASSIGN				
MINOR AMENDMENT			901212	AMENDED 12-12-90 TO THE ASSIGN				
910103KM		AP	910103 910103 910114		910409	60		00
890522KL		AL	890522 890522 890405		890607	60		00
891221KE	890703KE	W	891221 891221 900102		891229	60		00 900702
890703KE	860820KI	P	890703 890703 890710		890731	60		00 900122
881219KM		AP	881219 881219 881228		890517	60		01
MINOR AMENDMENT			890403	*AMENDED 04-03-89: PART I, SEC				
860820KI		P	860820 860903 860829	861008 861125 870401 870401 60 86-461			00	890702
WFLA	ELCOM OF FLORIDA, INC.	NAPLES	FL -CHAN-26	*TYPE CT	*STAT 15	*EXP 970201	-PC	931208
930720KF	AL	930720 930720 930729		931007	60		01	
MINOR AMENDMENT			930825	AMENDED 8/25/93, TO CHANGE ADD				
920728KO	TC	920728 920728 920810		920812	60		00	
920310KG	AL	920310 920310 920318		920629	60		01	
MINOR AMENDMENT			920622	AMENDED 6-22-92 TO SUPPLY THE				
911001LO	R	911001 911001 911011		920131	60		00	
890711KI	860702KG	L	890711 890711 890720		890828	60		00
860702KG	P	860702 861020 861028 880713		880610	60		01	900610
PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION FILED			880808	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MST'S PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION				
PLEADING			880727	OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION/DENNIS J. KELLY				
PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION FILED			880713	PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION/MARTIN WALD--ATTY				
MINOR AMENDMENT			861119	AMENDED 11-19-86 TO GIVE UPDAT				
860923KF	R	860923 860923 861001		870128	60		02	
MINOR AMENDMENT			870120	AMENDED 1-20-87 TO GIVE RESPON				
MINOR AMENDMENT			870106	AMENDED 1-6-87 TO SHOW STATEN				

CHRONOLOGY OF PLEADINGS

Channel Swap and Collateral Proceedings

Attached is a set of chronologies listing the pleadings filed in connection with the Channel Swap and the Collateral Proceedings.

Chronology A provides a master list of all pleadings filed by the Commercial Competitors, the University and SCC involving the Collateral Proceedings and the Channel Swap since the September 1992 filing of SCC's and the University's Joint Petition for Issuance of a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to Exchange Channels.

Chronology B lists those pleadings pertaining to the Commercial Competitors' challenges to the extension of the Cheyenne Mountain Construction Permit.

Chronology C lists those pleadings pertaining to Pikes Peak's challenges to SCC's authorization for TV Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado.

MASTER CHRONOLOGY OF ALL PLEADINGS

<u>Date of Filing</u>	<u>Title and Nature of Pleading</u>
September 8, 1992	<p>Joint Petition for Issuance of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking filed by the University of Southern Colorado (the "University") & Sangre de Cristo Communications, Inc. ("SCC")</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting the initiation of a rulemaking proposing an intra-band VHF channel swap between the University and SCC.
October 5, 1992	<p>Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak Broadcasting Company ("Pikes Peak")</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting rescission of the Commission's grant of the University's application to relocate KTSC-TV's transmitter site to Cheyenne Mountain.
October 8, 1992	<p>Petition for Reconsideration filed by KKTU, Inc. ("KKTU")</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting rescission of the Commission's grant of the University's application to relocate KTSC-TV's transmitter site to Cheyenne Mountain.
October 20, 1992	<p>Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration filed by the University</p>
October 22, 1992	<p>Reply to Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak</p>
November 19, 1992	<p>Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting rescission of the Commission's grant of SCC's extension of time application for Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado.
November 25, 1992	<p>Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting rescission of the Commission's grant of SCC's reinstatement application for Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado

December 2, 1992	Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by Pikes Peak <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Requesting issuance of an Order to Show Cause why the University's Cheyenne Mountain construction permit should not be revoked.
December 4, 1992	Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by KKTv <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Requesting issuance of an Order to Show Cause why the University's Cheyenne Mountain construction permit should not be revoked.
December 8, 1992	Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Reconsideration filed by SCC
December 18, 1992	Reply to Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak
January 8, 1993	Joint Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by the University and SCC
January 22, 1993	Reply to Joint Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by Pikes Peak
January 22, 1993	Reply to Joint Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by KKTv
February 9, 1993	Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Requesting the rescission of the Commission's grant of special temporary authority to rebroadcast programming of KTSC(TV), Channel 5*, Pueblo, Colorado, over Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado.

February 17, 1993

Notice of Intent to Object filed by Pikes Peak

- Declaring that Pikes Peak would oppose any application requesting extension of the outstanding Cheyenne Mountain Permit.

February 19, 1993

Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak

- Requesting the revocation of the Cheyenne Mountain Permit and the denial of the University's application for extension of the construction permit.

February 24, 1993

Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration filed by SCC

March 2, 1993

Joint Motion for Issuance of an Order to Show Cause filed by the University and SCC

- Requesting issuance of an order to show cause why Pikes Peak & KKTU should not be ordered to cease and desist their abuse of the FCC's processes.

March 2, 1993

Petition to Deny Application for Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause Why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked filed by KKTU

- Requesting the denial of the University's application for extension of the construction permit.

March 4, 1993

Joint Opposition to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by the University and SCC

March 8, 1993

Reply to Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak

March 16, 1993

Reply to Joint Opposition to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak

March 16, 1993

Opposition to Joint Motion for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by Pikes Peak

March 16, 1993	Opposition of KKTV, Inc. to Joint Motion for Issuance of an Order to Show Cause
March 17, 1993	Joint Opposition to KKTV Petition to Deny Application for Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause Why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked filed by the University and SCC
March 22, 1993	Reply of KKTV, Inc. to Joint Opposition to Petition to Deny Application of Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause Why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked
March 23, 1993	Amendment to Extension Application for KTSC(TV) Cheyenne Mountain construction permit filed by the University
March 29, 1993	Joint Consolidated Reply to Oppositions to Joint Motion for Issuance of Order to Show Cause
April 6, 1993	Supplement of KKTV, Inc. to Petition to Deny Application of the University of Southern Colorado for Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause Why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked ● Requesting the dismissal of the University's amendment to its extension application, the denial of the extension application and the revocation of the permit.
April 7, 1993	Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak ● Requesting the dismissal of the University's amendment to its extension application, the denial of the extension application and the revocation of the permit.

- April 13, 1993 **Motion of KKTU, Inc. for Leave to File Limited Reply to Joint Consolidated Reply to Oppositions to Joint Motion for Issuance of an Order to Show Cause & Limited Reply to Joint Consolidated Reply to Oppositions to Joint Motion for Issuance of an Order to Show Cause**
- Responding to suggestion that KKTU or its representatives caused the issuance of the duplicate public notice of grant of the University's application to relocate KTSC(TV)'s transmitter to Cheyenne Mountain.
- April 21, 1993 **Opposition to Supplement of KKTU to Petition to Deny and Petition for Issuance of Order filed by the University and SCC**
- April 22, 1993 **Joint Opposition to Pikes Peak Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by the University and SCC**
- April 28, 1993 **Comments to Limited Reply of KKTU to Joint Consolidated Reply to Oppositions to Joint Motion for Issuance of an Order to Show Cause filed by the University and SCC**
- May 14, 1993 **Petition to Deny University's Applications for New UHF Translator Stations at Grand Junction, Cortez-Red Mesa, Durango and Ignacio, Colorado filed by Pikes Peak**
- Requesting denial of the University's television translator applications or, in the alternative, that the FCC hold any further consideration of the applications in abeyance pending resolution of the other proceedings involving the University and initiated earlier by Pikes Peak

May 10, 1993	Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Requesting the denial of the University's extension application.
May 19, 1993	Joint Opposition to Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by the University and SCC
May 25, 1993	Opposition to Petition to Deny filed by the University
June 2, 1993	Reply to Joint Opposition to Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak
July 6, 1993	Notice of Intent to Object filed by Pikes Peak
July 9, 1993	Opposition to Extension of STA filed by Pikes Peak <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Requesting the denial of SCC's request for extension of special temporary authority to rebroadcast programming of KTSC(TV), Channel 5*, Pueblo, Colorado over Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado.
July 22, 1993	Response to Opposition filed by SCC
August 16, 1993	Petition to Deny University's Applications for New UHF Translator Stations at Grand Junction, Cortez-Red Mesa, Durango and Ignacio, Colorado filed by Pikes Peak <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Requesting denial of the University's television translator applications or, in the alternative, that the FCC hold any further consideration of the applications in abeyance pending resolution of the other proceedings involving the University and initiated earlier by Pikes Peak

August 26, 1993

Joint Motion to Consolidate Proceedings filed by the University and SCC

- Requesting consolidation of resolution of (1) proposed channel swap, (ii) reconsideration of grant of extension of time to construct, reinstatement of construction permit for and extension of STA for Television Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado; (iii) extension and assignment of Cheyenne Mountain Permit; and (iv) petitions to deny University's proposed Grand Junction, Cortez-Red Mesa, Durango and Ignacio, Colorado UHF translators in the channel swap rulemaking.

August 31, 1993

Opposition to Petition to Deny filed by University

August 31, 1993

Opposition of KKTV, Inc. to Joint Motion to Consolidate Proceedings

September 3, 1993

Joint Comments of University and SCC

- Supporting approval of channel swap presented in September 8, 1992 Joint Petition in response to Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 93-191 (July 13, 1993).

September 3, 1993

Comments of KKTV

- Opposing approval of channel swap presented in September 8, 1992 Joint Petition in response to Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 93-191 (July 13, 1993).

September 3, 1993

Comments of Pikes Peak

- Opposing approval of channel swap presented in September 8, 1992 Joint Petition in response to Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 93-191 (July 13, 1993).

September 8, 1993

Opposition to Joint Motion to Consolidate Pleadings filed by Pikes Peak

September 13, 1993	Reply to Opposition to Petition to Deny University Translator Applications filed by Pikes Peak
September 13, 1993	Joint Reply to Opposition to Joint Motion to Consolidate Proceedings filed by University and SCC
September 27, 1993	Joint Reply Comments of University and SCC
September 27, 1993	Reply Comments of KKTV
September 27, 1993	Reply Comments of Pikes Peak
September 27, 1993	Second Supplement to KKTV Petition to Deny Application of the University for Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to KKTV Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked filed by KKTV
October 14, 1993	Further Supplement to Pikes Peak Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak
October 15, 1993	Petition to Deny University Application to Assign KTSC Construction Permit to SCC filed by Pikes Peak
October 15, 1993	Petition to Deny Application of University for Consent to Assignment of Construction Permit filed by KKTV
October 27, 1993	Opposition to Further Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by the University
October 28, 1993	Petition to Deny Application to Modify Construction Permit filed by Pikes Peak
October 28, 1993	Opposition to Application for Modification of Construction Permit filed by KKTV
October 28, 1993	Joint Consolidated Opposition to Petitions to Deny CP Assignment Application filed by the University and SCC

November 10, 1993	Opposition to Objections to CP Modification filed by the University
November 22, 1993	Reply to Opposition to Petition to Deny Application to Modify Construction Permit filed by Pikes Peak
July 14, 1995	Decision of the Allocations Branch Denying Channel Exchange ("Staff Decision")
August 14, 1995	Joint Application for Review of the Staff Decision filed by the University and SCC

- Requesting reversal of the Staff Decision and grant of the Channel Swap as proposed by University and SCC (to include the Cheyenne Mountain Permit)

Chronology of Pleadings
Regarding
KTSC(TV) Cheyenne Mountain Construction Permit
(September, 1992 - September, 1993)

<u>Date of Filing</u>	<u>Title and Nature of Pleading</u>
October 5, 1992	<p>Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak Broadcasting Company ("Pikes Peak")</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting rescission of the Commission's grant of the University's application to relocate KTSC-TV's transmitter site to Cheyenne Mountain.
October 8, 1992	<p>Petition for Reconsideration filed by KKTU, Inc. ("KKTU")</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting rescission of the Commission's grant of the University's application to relocate KTSC-TV's transmitter site to Cheyenne Mountain. - Opposition to Petitions for Reconsideration filed by the University (October 20, 1992) - Reply to Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak (October 22, 1992)
December 2, 1992	<p>Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by Pikes Peak</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting issuance of an Order to Show Cause why the University's Cheyenne Mountain construction permit should not be revoked.
December 4, 1992	<p>Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by KKTU</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting issuance of an Order to Show Cause why the University's Cheyenne Mountain construction permit should not be revoked. - Joint Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by the University and SCC (January 8, 1993)

- **Reply to Joint Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by Pikes Peak (January 22, 1993)**
- **Reply to Joint Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Issuance of Order to Show Cause filed by KKTV (January 22, 1993)**

February 17, 1993

Notice of Intent to Object filed by Pikes Peak

- **Declaring that Pikes Peak would oppose any application requesting extension of the outstanding Cheyenne Mountain Permit.**

February 19, 1993

Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak

- **Requesting the revocation of the Cheyenne Mountain Permit and the denial of the University's application for extension of the construction permit.**

- **Joint Opposition to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by the University and SCC (March 4, 1993)**
- **Reply to Joint Opposition to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak (March 16, 1993)**

March 2, 1993

Petition to Deny Application for Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause Why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked filed by KKTV

- **Requesting the denial of the University's application for extension of the construction permit.**

- **Joint Opposition to KKTv Petition to Deny Application for Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause Why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked** filed by the University and SCC (March 17, 1993)
- **Reply of KKTv, Inc. to Joint Opposition to Petition to Deny Application of Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause Why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked** (March 22, 1993)

March 23, 1993

Amendment to Extension Application for KTSC(TV) Cheyenne Mountain construction permit filed by the University

- **Supplement of KKTv, Inc. to Petition to Deny Application of the University of Southern Colorado for Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause Why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked** (April 6, 1993)
 - Requesting the dismissal of the University's amendment to its extension application, the denial of the extension application and the revocation of the permit.
- **Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak** (April 7, 1993)
 - Requesting the dismissal of the University's amendment to its extension application, the denial of the extension application and the revocation of the permit.
- **Opposition to Supplement of KKTv to Petition to Deny and Petition for Issuance of Order** filed by the University and SCC (April 21, 1993)

- **Joint Opposition to Pikes Peak Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by the University and SCC (April 22, 1993)**

May 10, 1993

Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak

- Requesting the denial of the University's extension application.

- **Joint Opposition to Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by the University and SCC (May 19, 1993)**
- **Reply to Joint Opposition to Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak (June 2, 1993)**

August 26, 1993

Joint Motion to Consolidate Proceedings filed by the University and SCC

- Requesting consolidation of resolution of (1) proposed channel swap, (ii) reconsideration of grant of extension of time to construct, reinstatement of construction permit for and extension of STA for Television Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado; (iii) extension and assignment of Cheyenne Mountain Permit; and (iv) petitions to deny University's proposed Grand Junction, Cortez-Red Mesa, Durango and Ignacio, Colorado UHF translators in the channel swap rulemaking.

- **Opposition of KKTV, Inc. to Joint Motion to Consolidate Proceedings (August 31, 1993)**
- **Opposition to Joint Motion to Consolidate Proceedings filed by Pikes Peak (September 8, 1993)**
- **Joint Reply to Opposition to Joint Motion to Consolidate Proceedings filed by University and SCC (September 13, 1993)**

September 2, 1993

Application of the University to Assign Cheyenne Mountain Permit to SCC

- **Petition to Deny University Application to Assign KTSC Construction Permit to SCC filed by Pikes Peak (October 15, 1993)**
- **Petition to Deny Application of University for Consent to Assignment of Construction Permit filed by KKTV (October 15, 1993)**
- **Joint Consolidated Opposition to Petitions to Deny CP Assignment Application filed by the University and SCC (October 28, 1993)**

September 14, 1993

Supplement to Application to Extend KTSC Construction Permit

- **Second Supplement to KKTV Petition to Deny Application of the University for Extension of Construction Permit and Supplement to KKTV Petition for Issuance of Order to Show Cause why Construction Permit Should Not Be Revoked filed by KKTV (September 27, 1993)**
- **Further Supplement to Pikes Peak Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by Pikes Peak (September 27, 1993)**
- **Opposition to Further Supplement to Petition to Revoke and Deny CP Extension filed by the University (October 27, 1993)**

September 28, 1993

Amendment to Cheyenne Mountain Permit filed by the University

- **Petition to Deny Application to Modify CP filed by Pikes Peak (October 28, 1993)**
- **Opposition to Application for Modification of Construction Permit (October 28, 1993)**

- **Opposition to Objections to CP Modification
filed by the University (November 10, 1993)**
- **Reply to Opposition to Petition to Deny
Application to Modify CP filed by Pikes Peak
(November 22, 1993)**

July 14, 1995

**Decision of the Allocations Branch Denying Channel
Exchange and Consolidation of Proceedings ("Staff
Decision")**

- **Joint Application for Review of the Staff
Decision filed by the University and SCC
(August 14, 1995)**
 - Requesting reversal of the Staff Decision and
grant of the Channel Swap as proposed by
University and SCC (to include the Cheyenne
Mountain Permit)

Chronology of Pleadings
Regarding
TV Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado
(September, 1992 - September, 1993)

<u>Date of Filing</u>	<u>Title and Nature of Pleading</u>
November 19, 1992	<p>Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting rescission of the Commission's grant of SCC's extension of time application for Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado.
November 25, 1992	<p>Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting rescission of the Commission's grant of SCC's reinstatement application for Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado - Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Reconsideration filed by SCC (December 8, 1992) - Reply to Consolidated Opposition to Petitions for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak (December 18, 1992)
February 9, 1993	<p>Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Requesting the rescission of the Commission's grant of special temporary authority to rebroadcast programming of KTSC(TV), Channel 5*, Pueblo, Colorado, over Translator K15BX, Colorado Springs, Colorado. - Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration filed by SCC (February 24, 1993) - Reply to Opposition to Petition for Reconsideration filed by Pikes Peak (March 8, 1993)